

# Which hospital patients should pharmacists prioritise?

Cathy Geeson<sup>1,2</sup>, Bryony Dean Franklin<sup>2</sup>, Li Wei<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Luton and Dunstable University Hospital

<sup>2</sup> Department of Practice and Policy, UCL School of Pharmacy, London

Contact: cathy.geeson@ldh.nhs.uk



## Introduction

- Medicines optimisation is a key role for hospital clinical pharmacists, but with ever increasing demands on services there is a need to increase efficiency whilst maintaining patient safety
- Clinical prioritisation has been proposed as a way to permit pharmacy services to focus on where the need is greatest and where it has the greatest impact<sup>1</sup>
- The aim of this study was to obtain expert opinion on the potential prognostic factors (PFs) that cause medication related problems (MRPs) during hospitalisation
- This will inform the development of a prognostic model, (the Medicines Optimisation Assessment Tool; MOAT), to identify patients at highest risk of MRPs

## Method

- Potential PFs were identified from published literature, and an internet survey developed to identify: (1) the perceived importance / clinical relevance of these PFs; (2) other potential PFs
- The survey was administered during April-June 2016
- The target subjects comprised healthcare professionals and patient/public representatives
- Respondents rated each PF using a 5-point Likert scale (from 'very important' to 'not important')
- The median and interquartile range (IQR) were calculated for each PF to establish central tendency and variability



This study received NHS Research Ethics Committee approval (16/WA/0016).

## Results

- 247 responses were received
- Table 1 shows the median response score for each proposed PF
- 59 additional PFs were suggested, including dementia (34 participants); adherence/compliance (17); physical/sensory impairment (14); compliance aid (11); and frailty (10)

## Discussion

- The majority of PFs (23/27) were considered 'important' or 'very important', with a significant number of additional PFs suggested, demonstrating the multidimensional causality of MRPs
- The results of this study will enable expert opinion to guide development of the Medicines Optimisation Assessment Tool (MOAT), thereby increasing its clinical credibility<sup>2</sup>
- Limitations include the use of convenience sampling, use of an "infinite" target population, precluding calculation of the response rate, and the potential impact of volunteer bias

## References

1. NHS England, Transformation of seven day clinical pharmacy services in acute hospitals, September 2016
2. Bouwmeester et al. Reporting and methods in clinical prediction research: a systematic review, *PLoS Med.* 2012;9(5):e1001221

**Table 1** Categorisation of the perceived importance of the proposed prognostic factors:

Prognostic factor	Median response score*	Interquartile range (IQR)
Renal function	1	0
Liver function	1	1
Age	1	1
Co-morbidities	1	1
Allergies	1	1
Swallowing problems	1	1
No. of medicines prescribed	1	1
No. of PIMs prescribed	1	1
Type of medicine prescribed	1.5	1
Serum sodium level	2	1
Serum potassium level	2	1
Platelet count	2	1
Serum albumin level	2	1
White blood cell count	2	2
Diagnosis/reason for admission	2	1
Type of hospital speciality	2	1
Readmission within 30 days	2	1
No. of admissions in 6 months	2	1
Elective vs. planned admission	2	1
Route of medicine administration	2	1
Dosing frequency of medication	2	1
Social deprivation	2	1
Dependent living situation	2	1
Ethnicity	3	2
Hyperlipidaemia	3	2
No. of outpatient visits in 6 months	3	1
Gender	4	1

\*Likert responses allocated ordinal numbers, 1=very important, 2=important, 3=50:50, 4=less important, 5=not important

PIMs: potentially inappropriate medicines

